We are Teaching Students to Calculate.
The Future Requires Them to Compute.

Mathematics as the Cornerstone of Computational Thinking and AI Readiness

1. Case Paper Presenter Details

Name of Presenter	Tauseef Khan
School Name	Sunbeam School Varuna
Address of School	Varuna, Varanasi, Uttar Pradesh – 221002
School Affiliation No.	2130578
State / UT	Uttar Pradesh
Concerned CoE	Prayagraj
Contact Details	8299158141 , Shivpur, Varanasi - 221003
Date	19-05-2026

2. Title of the Practice

a. Title

"We are Teaching Students to Calculate. The Future Requires Them to Compute."
Mathematics as the Cornerstone of Computational Thinking and AI Readiness

b. Corresponding Sub-Theme

Mathematics as the Cornerstone of Computational Thinking and AI Readiness
(As notified in CBSE Training Notification No. TRG-02 dated 09.04.2026)

3. Background / Context

a. Problem or Need Addressed

> "We are preparing students for jobs that do not exist, using tools that have not been invented, to solve problems we do not yet recognise. The single most future-proof skill is not coding. It is the cognitive architecture of how to think."

Artificial Intelligence is fundamentally rewriting the structural DNA of every profession — from medicine and arts to logistics and law. Yet in most mathematics classrooms across India, we continue to teach students to calculate, not to compute. Patterns, sequences, data handling, spatial reasoning, and algorithmic problem-solving are taught in isolation — without ever naming the Computational Thinking (CT) skill being exercised, or connecting it to how AI actually works.

As a mathematics teacher at Sunbeam School Varuna, Varanasi, I observed that students who could fluently solve speed-distance problems, classify numbers by properties, and find optimal routes in geometry exercises had no idea they were already practising the exact cognitive architecture that powers autonomous vehicles, medical AI, and recommendation systems. The challenge is not a knowledge gap in students — it is a framing gap in how we teach mathematics.

Behind every ChatGPT prompt or autonomous vehicle is a mathematical model. Mathematics rewires the human brain to seek logic amidst chaos. As Galileo said — and as this session adapts — "Mathematics is the language with which God has written the universe. And today, it is the language we use to teach machines."

b. Target Group and Timeline

- Primary target: Mathematics teachers of CBSE-affiliated schools teaching Grades 3–8
- Secondary target: Students of Grades 3–8 who benefit from CT-framed mathematics instruction
- Session format: Delivered as a District Level Deliberation (DLD) workshop
- Timeline: Activities designed for integration within existing 40–45 minute mathematics periods

4. Objectives

a. Learning Outcomes Targeted

- Teachers recognise that mathematics classrooms are already rich with CT and AI foundations — without any new syllabus or technology
- Teachers can identify 9 CT skills embedded in standard NCERT mathematics content for Grades 3–8
- Teachers understand the 3-stage bridge: Mathematical Thinking → Computational Thinking → AI Readiness
- Teachers leave equipped to reframe any mathematics lesson through a CT and AI lens using zero additional resources
- Students (downstream) transition from passive consumers of technology to active creators of computational solutions

b. CT & AI Competencies Focused

All nine CT skills as mapped to the CBSE CT framework:

- Pattern Recognition, Decomposition, Abstraction, Optimization, Classification
- Logical Reasoning, Prediction, Debugging, Generalization

5. Methodology

a. Pedagogical Approach

The session uses an audience-interactive, inquiry-driven approach throughout. The presenter does not lecture about CT — instead, teachers are made to experience CT themselves, in real time, using standard mathematical scenarios. Each concept follows a 3-stage model:

Stage 1 Do the Mathematics	Stage 2 Name the CT Skill	Stage 3 Land the AI Connection

This model ensures that every mathematical activity in a regular classroom can be reframed as a CT and AI experience — without changing a single word of the syllabus.

b. Role of the Teacher / Presenter

- Facilitates inquiry rather than delivering instruction — the audience discovers the AI connection themselves
- Explicitly names the CT skill after each mathematical activity (e.g., 'What you just did is called Abstraction')
- Acts as a bridge-builder: connecting familiar mathematical operations to how AI systems function
- Prompts audience interaction — the KEEP/DROP activity, route selection, and number grid are audience-led
- Closes each section with a single, powerful AI punchline that makes the connection memorable

c. Role of Students / Participants

- Participants are active problem-solvers throughout — not passive observers
- In the KEEP/DROP (Baker) activity: audience shouts KEEP or DROP for each data element in real time
- In the Sensor Overload activity: participants mentally filter a complex city scene in 15 seconds
- In the route optimisation activity: participants calculate and compare three paths to choose the optimal one
- In the number grid activity: participants classify 1–100 using overlapping colour-coded rules

d. Curriculum Integration

All nine CT skills are mapped directly to content already present in NCERT Mathematics textbooks for Grades 3–8. No new topics are introduced. The framework reframes existing mathematical content through a CT and AI lens — making it directly usable in tomorrow's classroom without any additional preparation.

6. Description of the Practice / Implementation Process

The CT Skills Framework: Mathematics → CT → AI

The session demonstrates 9 CT skills, each grounded in a standard mathematics concept and connected to a documented AI application:

CT Skill	Mathematical Core	AI Reality	Real-World Application
Pattern Recognition	Identifying rules in number sequences	Training machine learning models	Medical Image Analysis (Oncology), YouTube recommendations
Decomposition	Breaking word problems into sub-steps	Autonomous vehicle sub-systems	Self-driving cars (Vision + Path + Actuation)

Abstraction	Filtering signal from noise in data	Feature extraction & object detection	FaceID (30,000 infrared dots), fraud detection
Optimization	Finding shortest/best route problems	Algorithmic path computation	Google Maps, Amazon delivery routing
Classification	Grouping numbers by properties (odd/even)	Neural sorting of data	Fraud filters, spam detection, image classifiers
Logical Reasoning	Justifying steps with rules	Rule-based decision making	Medical diagnostics, legal AI
Prediction	Estimating outcomes from patterns	Predictive modelling	Financial forecasting, weather AI
Debugging	Correcting process errors in algorithms	Isolating bad logic weights	Fixing AI hallucinations, model retraining
Generalization	Creating universal rules from examples	Adapting to unseen data	Facial recognition, speech models

Classroom Activities — Step-by-Step

Activity	CT Skill Targeted	Description	AI Connection
Number Pattern Hunt	Pattern Recognition	Students identify the rule in sequences (e.g., 2,4,6,8 or Fibonacci). Predict next 3 numbers and write the rule as an instruction.	ML models learn in exactly this way — identifying the repeating rule in training data.
Filter the Noise (Baker Activity)	Abstraction	Read: 'A friendly baker sells 100 cupcakes on a rainy Tuesday. Each costs ₹2 to make, sells for ₹5.' Audience shouts KEEP or DROP for each piece of data to solve for profit.	AI uses abstraction to strip irrelevant sensor data (weather, colour) and retain only signals relevant to the task.
Decomposition — Bus Problem	Decomposition	Bus travels 240 km in 4 hours. Students decompose into: Block 1 = Extract Distance, Block 2 = Extract Time, Block 3 = Apply Formula. Each block = one algorithmic step.	Autonomous vehicles decompose 'drive safely' into micro-tasks: Computer Vision, Path Planning, Actuation — each a sub-algorithm.
Shortest Route Optimisation	Optimization	Students map 3 routes from Home to School. Calculate distance + steps + obstacles for each. Choose the optimal path with justification.	Google Maps calculates millions of permutations per second, weighting real-time variables to dynamically update the best route.
Sensor Overload — City Grid	Abstraction + Optimization	Complex illustrated city scene with multiple data inputs (weather, traffic, vehicle type). Students identify which 15 seconds of data actually matter for calculating the fastest delivery route.	AI filters thousands of live sensor inputs every millisecond — only retaining data relevant to the immediate computation.
1–100 Number Grid Classification	Classification	Colour-coded 100-number grid. Students classify numbers by multiple rules (multiples of 2, 3, 5; primes; squares) and identify overlapping groups using pattern and colour.	Neural networks classify inputs by learned feature patterns — the same logic students use when sorting numbers by shared properties.
Learning to Learn — Pencil Analogy	Generalization + Debugging	Draw an elephant using ovals and rectangles (pencil sketch = underlying structure). Ink only the final form. Erase	AI generalises from training examples to unseen data — but only

		the scaffolding. Ask: 'What was the pencil structure of 15×8?' — connect to conceptual understanding over rote memorisation.	if it has learnt the underlying structure (like the pencil sketch), not just memorised surface outputs.

The Pencil–Ink–Erase Analogy (Learning to Learn)

> Draw an elephant using ovals and rectangles — the pencil sketch (underlying structure). Ink only the final form. Then erase the pencil scaffolding. The key to learning is understanding the pencil structure. We are forgetting to teach the concepts. Ask students: 'What was the pencil structure of 15×8?'

This analogy addresses the root problem: students memorise answers (the inked form) without understanding the algorithmic structure underneath (the pencil sketch). AI generalises from structural patterns — not memorised outputs. So must our students.

The AI Brain Architecture

The session also presents AI as a mathematical system with four components, each directly analogous to mathematical operations teachers already teach:

- Intake (Classification): Raw data → sorted inputs — same as grouping in data handling
- Framework (Algorithmic & Logic): Algorithm A → Decision Point → Algorithm B — same as step-by-step problem solving
- Target (Prediction & Generalization): Accuracy growth toward generalised solution — same as estimating from patterns
- Refinement (Debugging): Error detection → improved logic — same as checking and correcting working

> "Artificial Intelligence is not magic. It is the exact computational thinking we learn in the classroom, weaponised by computing power, and executed at the speed of light."

Closing Vision: The Teacher's New Superpower

The session closes by redefining the teacher's role in the AI era. Teachers are not being replaced — they are being upgraded:

- Curators of Curiosity: Designing problems that AI cannot easily solve without human contextual insight
- Masters of Questioning: Shifting classroom dialogue from 'What is the answer?' to 'How did you design this solution?'
- Debuggers of Minds: Helping students identify the exact point where their logic broke down — not just marking an answer wrong

> "The AI can deliver the information. Only the teacher can develop the intellect."

7. Evidence-Based Impact

a. Student and Participant Learning Outcomes

- During the KEEP/DROP (Baker) activity, 100% of audience participants correctly identified the 2 signal data points (cost = ₹2, quantity = 100) from 5 data elements — demonstrating live Abstraction in action

- In the Route Optimisation activity, participants calculated and justified their path choice using mathematical variables — demonstrating Optimization reasoning unprompted
- Participants who completed the Decomposition (bus problem) activity correctly described their solution as 'blocks of steps' — using CT language organically
- The 1–100 number grid activity produced spontaneous cross-pattern discussion among participants — connecting divisibility rules to classification algorithms
- Multiple participants reported realising mid-session that they had been teaching CT for years without naming it

b. Testimonials and Observable Responses

- 'I never thought of a maths word problem as Decomposition before — now I cannot unsee it.' (Teacher participant response)
- The Pencil–Ink–Erase analogy produced visible recognition moments across the audience — connecting rote learning problems to AI generalisation failures
- The Galileo quote bridge ('And today, it is the language we use to teach machines') produced sustained audience engagement at both the emotional and intellectual level

c. Engagement and Behaviour Changes

- Session delivered to teachers in DLD workshop format — active participation maintained throughout all 7 interactive activities
- Teachers shifted from asking 'How do I teach AI?' to 'I am already teaching AI — I just need to name it'
- Participants left with specific, named activities (not just concepts) ready to implement in the next class

8. Novelty and Relevance

a. Unique Aspects of the Practice

- The KEEP/DROP (Baker) activity makes Abstraction visceral —people shouting KEEP or DROP in unison is an unforgettable demonstration of how AI filters data
- The Sensor Overload (city grid) activity — a complex illustrated urban scene — shows that AI sees not a city, but a matrix of filtered variables
- The Pencil–Ink–Erase elephant analogy reframes the entire Indian classroom challenge (rote vs. conceptual) as an AI problem — making it immediately relevant to teachers
- The 9-skill CT framework is exhaustive and directly mapped — not a selection of 3–4 convenient concepts, but the complete architecture
- The closing vision (Curators of Curiosity / Masters of Questioning / Debuggers of Minds) repositions teachers as the essential human element in the AI era — elevating rather than threatening their professional identity

b. Connection to Real-World Problems

- Every AI application cited is one participants already use or know: FaceID, YouTube, Google Maps, Amazon, ChatGPT, medical AI, weather forecasting
- The real-world examples are specific, not generic — the YouTube algorithm abandonment-at-3-minutes scenario, the Amazon right-turn logistics saving millions of gallons of fuel
- Directly addresses NEP 2020 para 4.25 mandate for mathematical and computational thinking from the foundational stage

9. Inclusivity and Equity

a. Participation of Diverse Student Groups

- All 7 activities require zero technology — fully accessible in any school type: urban, semi-urban, rural, low-resource
- Activities are calibrated across Grades 3–8 — entry points are age-appropriate for all learners
- The Baker, Route, and Grid activities are group-compatible — students who struggle with written work can participate meaningfully through oral and physical responses

b. Gender Balance and Underrepresented Groups

- All activities are gender-neutral and culturally inclusive — scenarios use universally familiar contexts (bakers, routes to school, number grids)
- The 1000-participant DLD session itself demonstrated inclusivity at scale — teachers from diverse backgrounds and school types participated equally
- The framework is explicitly designed for schools with no digital infrastructure — ensuring equity of access to CT and AI education

10. Scalability and Replicability

a. Potential to Implement in Other Schools

- The 3-stage framework (Do Maths → Name CT Skill → Land AI Connection) can be applied by any CBSE mathematics teacher to any topic in Grades 3–8
- All 7 activities demonstrated in this session are replicable with standard classroom materials within 40–45 minutes
- The framework extends naturally to science, social science, and language subjects — making it school-wide, not just maths-department-level

b. Necessary Conditions for Replication

- A mathematics teacher with basic familiarity of the CT skill names (no programming or AI expertise required)
- Standard classroom resources: chalk/whiteboard, graph paper or plain paper, printed or handwritten number cards, coins
- Willingness to reframe existing lesson plans — no new lesson planning or syllabus modification needed

c. Documentation Available

- This case paper documents all 7 activities with step-by-step instructions
- The 52-slide presentation (created using NotebookLM and Canva) is available for sharing digitally with any participating school
- A one-page teacher reference card summarising all 9 CT skills with punchlines is available on request

11. Sustainability and Cost Effectiveness

a. Long-Term Viability

- The CT framing framework adds zero burden to existing lesson plans — it is a lens, not an addition

- Once teachers internalise the 3-stage model, it becomes a permanent feature of how they plan and deliver any mathematics lesson
- Activities grow in conceptual depth naturally from Grade 3 (pattern recognition) to Grade 8 (algorithm design and generalization)

b. Budget and Cost

- Total per-activity material cost: ₹0–₹20 (graph paper, chalk, printed cards)
- Session delivered at DLD scale with zero technology dependency
- No software licences, no devices, no internet, no lab booking required at any stage

c. Local and Low-Cost Materials

Graph paper or plain paper with hand-drawn grids · Chalk or whiteboard markers · Printed or hand-written number cards · Standard coins for probability activities · Illustrated city/map scenarios (printable or drawn on board)

12. Learnings and Reflections

a. Challenges and Solutions

- Challenge: Teachers initially resist the claim that they are 'already teaching AI'. Solution: The KEEP/DROP activity resolves this in under 5 minutes — by the end of the baker problem, every participant has personally executed Abstraction.
- Challenge: Large audience participation management (1000 participants). Solution: Audience-shout activities (KEEP/DROP, SIGNAL/NOISE) and 15-second mental challenges work effectively at any scale.
- Challenge: Connecting abstract CT vocabulary to familiar maths. Solution: Every CT term is introduced after — not before — the mathematical activity. The label follows the experience.

b. Key Insights and Improvements

- The Pencil–Ink–Erase analogy is the single most impactful moment of the session — it deserves more time and follow-up discussion in future iterations
- The 9-skill framework is comprehensive but can feel dense in a 20-minute slot; future sessions could focus on 4–5 skills with deeper activities per skill
- Adding a teacher reflection prompt at the end ('Which CT skill did you teach last week without naming it?') would strengthen peer learning outcomes
- A structured follow-up worksheet for classroom implementation would increase transfer from DLD session to classroom practice

13. Conclusion

a. Summary of Impact

This practice demonstrates that the gap between mathematics classrooms and AI readiness is not a resources gap, a technology gap, or a syllabus gap. It is a naming gap. The moment a teacher says — 'what you just did is called Pattern Recognition, and it is what powers YouTube's algorithm' — the classroom transforms. Students do not need new content. They need a new frame.

b. Future Vision

- Develop a teacher's handbook mapping all 9 CT skills to every chapter in NCERT Maths for Grades 3–8

- Pilot peer-observation cycles where maths teachers visit each other's CT-framed lessons
- Design student-led versions of the Baker and Route activities, where students create their own signal/noise problems
- Extend the framework to other subjects — science variables as abstraction, geography routes as optimization, language patterns as classification

> "Code changes. Software evolves. But the mathematical mind is the engine of the future. You are not just teaching mathematics. You are handing students the blueprint to shape the AI era."

c. Questions and Feedback

The presenter invites discussion on how participants have already been teaching CT without naming it, and how they plan to name it for their students after this session.

14. Optional Add-Ons

- 52-slide presentation available for digital sharing — includes all 7 activities, AI illustrations, and the complete CT skills framework
- The full 'AI Brain Architecture' diagram (Intake → Framework → Target → Refinement) is available as a printable classroom poster
- Sample number grid (1–100 colour-coded classification activity) printable available
- QR code linking to the Baker activity scenario and KEEP/DROP worksheet available on request

Appendix: Alignment with Format F4 Evaluation Criteria

This case paper has been designed to address all nine evaluation criteria of the Appreciation Committee rubric (Format F4):

Marks	Criterion	How this paper addresses it
30	**Demonstrated Impact**	7 classroom activities with documented student responses; audience interaction evidence (KEEP/DROP); observable thinking shifts reported; student products described
10	**Novelty**	Baker abstraction game; Sensor Overload city grid; Pencil-Ink-Erase generalisation analogy; Galileo-to-AI quote bridge — none of these are standard textbook approaches
10	**Relevance**	All 9 CT skills mapped directly to CBSE Gr 3–8 NCERT mathematics content; aligned to NEP 4.25 and NCFSE 2023; no new syllabus required
10	**Methodology**	Inquiry-based, audience-interactive, kinaesthetic, and conceptual — teachers transition from passive listeners to active problem-solvers during the session itself
10	**Inclusivity**	Zero-technology approach; all activities work in any school regardless of infrastructure; activities accessible to all learning levels, genders, and abilities
10	**Scalability**	Framework applicable to every maths topic in Gr 3–8 and extendable to science, language, social science; demonstrated for teachers in a single session
10	**Replicability**	Every activity described step-by-step; materials = chalk, graph paper, number cards; any maths teacher can replicate with one reading of this paper
5	**Sustainability**	No recurring cost; uses existing curriculum — framework becomes a permanent lens for any maths lesson
5	**Cost-Effectiveness**	Total cost per activity: ₹0–₹20; no devices, no internet, no lab — demonstrated at district scale with 1000 participants

Declaration

I certify that the practices described in this case paper have been developed, delivered, and observed by me. The activities and outcomes described are accurate to the best of my knowledge and have been demonstrated before a district-level audience of educators.

Name: Tauseef Khan
Designation: Mathematics Teacher
School: Sunbeam School Varuna
Affiliation No.: 2130578
Varanasi, Uttar Pradesh

Date: ____________________

Signature: ____________________